



Utilisation des symétries pour l'accélération du code potentiel linéaire Nemoh.

Matthieu Ancellin* et Frédéric Dias

School of Mathematics and Statistics, University College Dublin

11 janvier 2018

Summary

Linear potential flow simulations of symmetric Wave Energy Converters (WECs) with the open source code Nemoh.



Axisymmetric shape



Cylindrical or prismatic shape



Regular array





Linear potential flow theory

$$u = \nabla \phi, \qquad \phi = \operatorname{Re} \left(\Phi e^{-i\omega t} \right)$$

$$g \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial z} - \omega^2 \Phi = 0$$

$$\nabla \Phi \cdot n = u \cdot n$$

$$\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial z} = 0$$



Example of result

Free surface elevation of a diffracted wave around a floating sphere



Also: hydrodynamic coefficients (added mass, radiation damping),



Boundary integral formulation

Introducing the Green function G(x, .), solution of the problem for a singularity in x and for the boundary conditions of the free surface and sea bottom.

Potential at the body boundary (output) $\Phi_{i} = \sum_{j} \underbrace{\left(\iint_{\Gamma_{j}} G(x_{i}, y) \, \mathrm{dS}(y) \right)}_{V_{ij}} \sigma_{j}$ $\underbrace{\left(u \cdot n \right)_{i}}_{V_{ij}} = \frac{\sigma_{i}}{2} + \sum_{j} \underbrace{\left(\iint_{\Gamma_{j}} (\nabla_{x} G(x_{i}, y) \cdot n) \, \mathrm{dS}(y) \right)}_{V_{ij}} \sigma_{j}$ Normal velocities (input)

Boundary Elements Method (BEM) solver:

- 1. Evaluate the matrices S and V;
- 2. Solve the linear system $u = \left(\frac{\mathbb{I}}{2} + V\right)\sigma$;
- 3. Deduce $\Phi = S\sigma$.



+ post-processing

Nemoh

Open source Boundary Elements Method solver released in 2014 by École Centrale Nantes. https://lheea.ec-nantes.fr/logiciels-et-brevets/ nemoh-presentation-192863.kjsp

Following work has been done in my own refactored development version: https://github.com/mancellin/capytaine



One vertical symmetry plane

Block symmetric structure



- Computation of only half of the coefficients.
- Faster resolution of the linear system:

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ B & A \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma \\ \sigma' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} u \\ u' \end{pmatrix} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \begin{cases} (A+B)(\sigma+\sigma') = (u+u') \\ (A-B)(\sigma-\sigma') = (u-u')_{_{7/18}} \end{cases}$$

Axial symmetry

Block symmetric circulant structure



- Computation of $\lceil n/2 \rceil m^2$ coefficients instead of $(nm)^2$.
- Faster resolution of the linear system:
 - Block-diagonalisation of block circulant matrices with FFT \Rightarrow *n* systems of size *m* × *m*.



Benchmark

Comparison of the computation time





Benchmark

Comparison of the results





Relative error $\sim 10^{-4}$ (single precision rounding errors?)

Not a symmetric problem

only a symmetric floating body

Axisymmetric problem (Heave)









Prismatic shape

Block symmetric Toeplitz structure



- Computation of nm^2 coefficients instead of $(nm)^2$.
- Faster resolution of the linear system?



Nested symmetries

```
half_ring = load_mesh_file("half_ring.dat")

ring = ReflectionSymmetry(half_ring,
xOz_Plane)

cylinder = TranslationalSymmetry(ring,
times=n)

buoy = load_mesh_file("buoy.dat")

arm = TranslationalSymmetry(buoy,
x-direction,
times=10

arm.rotate_z(pi/8)

x-directionSymmetry(arm,
times=n)

buoy = load_mesh_file("buoy.dat")

arm = TranslationalSymmetry(buoy,
x-direction,
times=10

buoy = ReflectionSymmetry(buoy.dat")

arm.rotate_z(pi/8)

x-direction,
times=10

buoy = ReflectionSymmetry(arm,
times=10

buoy = ReflectionSymmetry(arm, times=10

buoy = ReflectionSymmetry(arm, times=10

buoy = ReflectionSymmetry(arm, times=10

buoy = ReflectionSymmetry(arm, times=10

buoy = ReflectionSymmetry(arm, times=10

buoy = ReflectionSymmetry(arm, times=10

buoy = ReflectionSymmetry(arm, times=10

buoy = ReflectionSymmetry(arm, times=10

buoy = ReflectionSymmetry(arm, times=10

buoy = ReflectionSymmetry(arm, times=10

buoy = ReflectionSymmetry(arm, times=10

buoy = ReflectionSymmetry(arm, times=10

buoy = ReflectionSymmetry(arm, times=10

buoy = ReflectionSymmetry(
```





Benchmark

Finite depth





Conclusion

Improvement of the efficiency of Nemoh

- Using the symmetries of the floating body (= the domain);
- Speed up $\sim \sqrt{N}$, where *N* is the number of cells;
- For the same precision.
- Also possibly less memory consumption (not studied here).
- Among other optimizations of Nemoh.
- Perspective: speed/accuracy trade-off?



Perspectives

Nearly symmetric bodies

Closed horizontal cylinder:







Perspectives

Nearly symmetric bodies

Tilted horizontal cylinder:







Perspectives Arrays of WECs

Simulation of large regular arrays of identical WECs.

To be compared with other strategies such as *McNatt et al., 2014* and *Fabregas Flavia et al., 2018.*



McNatt et al., 2014



Thank you for your attention!

Matthieu Ancellin matthieu.ancellin@ucd.ie

